

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Aldress COMMISSICATER FOR PATENTS PO Fox 1450 Alexandra, Vignua 22313-1450 www.uspro.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/03/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09-927,361	08 13 2001	Min-Ho Lim	1630-0126P	4558	
2292 75	90 07 03 2003				
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURO	CH, VA 22040-0747		GUHARAY, KARABI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2879		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	, ,,-
		09/927,361	LIM, MIN-HO	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Karabi Guharay	2879	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	. 136(a) In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MG tte, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U S C § 133)	nmunication
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on Arr	nendment A, filed on 14 A	pril 2003 .	
2a)⊡		his action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims			merits is
· ·	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application	١.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
<u> </u>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
	on Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on 14 A	<i>\<u>pril 2003</u> is: a)⊠ approve</i>	ed b) disapproved by the E	xaminer.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in	Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B			Stage
	the state of the s	· · · · ·		
	r 二, The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			
Attachmen				
. I Niti	n of References, one på 100 km. e af Draftspers one Patent (Irawing Review (FT) 1949 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		CS ammer PT (4th Papert) s totoma elektrikat (athor)	•.
C. Creset was To	A transition of the contract o			

Art Unit: 2879

Amendment A, filed on 14 April 2003, has been fully considered and entered.

Substitute specification has been entered.

Amendments of specification overcome the objection to the disclosure.

Amendments of drawings are acknowledged and overcome the objections to the drawings.

Amendment of claim 4 overcomes the rejection of claim 4.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "smooth screen edges are obtained for the effective surface of the shadow mask at every length of the first slots between and inclusive of 70%-110% of a vertical length of a fourth slot" as recited in amended claim 1 is not supported by specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply

**Little and the large of the content of the claims contains subject matter which was

**The claims contains subject matter which was

in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had

possession of the claimed invention. In this case, independent claim 1 recites "smooth."

Art Unit: 2879

screen edges are obtained *at every length* of the first slots between and inclusive of 70%-110% of a vertical length of the fourth slot". Original specification discloses that length of the first slot is within the range 70%- 110% and inclusive. But does not disclose that each slot length can have every possible values between and inclusive of claimed range. Thus considered as new matter.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In this case, amended claim 1 recites "smooth screen edges" The term "smooth" is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "smooth" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Further applicant recites "length" of the first slot, it is not clear which length applicant is referring to, since slots have dimensions in two directions.

Adjusted to the term of the configuration of the engine

Furthermore, the phrase "every length of the first slots between and inclusive of 70%-110% of a vertical length of a fourth slot" renders claim indefinite. There are infinite

How a single shadow mask can have slots having every possible slot length, which are infinite number of different lengths. Thus scope of the claim cannot be determined.

Application/Control Number: 09/927,361 Page 4

Art Unit: 2879

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Robbins (US 4631440).

Regarding claim 1, Robbins discloses a color CRT (Fig 1) comprising a panel (18) having a luminous screen (phosphor pattern 19, 20, 21) on an inner surface thereof, a funnel portion connected to the panel, an electron gun (12, 13, 14) mounted on a neck portion of the funnel, electron gun emitting electron beams (15, 16, 17) towards the luminous screen, a shadow mask (22) disposed in a fixed interval to the luminous screen, a frame for supporting mask (see Fig 1), shadow mask includes an effective surface having plurality of the slots and a non-effective surface surrounding the effective surface (lines 46-62 of column 2), wherein slots includes first slots (33a, 34a, 33b, 34b, of Fig 3) comprising each outermost slot of adjacent vertical columns of slots in a vertical axis direction of the effective surface of the shadow mask, (along top edge 120, Fig 2), a horizontal row of second slots being adjacent to first slots in the vertical axis direction (Y-axis) and third slots being adjacent to second slots in the vertical axis

axis direction (see Fig 3) wherein smooth screen edge (top line 120) are obtained for the effective surface of the shadow mask at a length of the vertical axis direction of the

to the in the second

Art Unit: 2879

first slots (33a, 34a, 33b, 34b) is between and inclusive of 70% ~110% of the vertical length of the fourth slots (here fourth slots are all full length slot 33, where as some of the length of the first slots (33a) are equal to full length of the slot 33, which is 100%, and slots 34a and 34b are with in 50-80% of full length).

Regarding claim 2, Robbins discloses that the length of the vertical axis direction of the second slots (second slots are all full length slots 33) is in the range of 70% ~ 110% of a length of the fourth slots (in this case 100%).

Regarding claim 3, Robbins discloses that the length of the vertical axis direction of the third slots (third slots are all full length slots 33) is in the range of $70\% \sim 110\%$ of a length of the fourth slots (in this case 100%).

Regarding claim 4, Robbins discloses that a minimum length of the vertical axis direction of the first slot (partial slot 34) is more than 63% of the maximum length of the vertical axis direction of the first slot (full length slot 33). See claim 3 of column 4.

Regarding claim 5, Robbins discloses a color CRT (Fig 1) comprising a panel (18) having a luminous screen (phosphor pattern 19, 20, 21) on an inner surface thereof, a funnel portion connected to the panel, an electron gun (12, 13, 14) mounted on a neck portion of the funnel, electron gun emitting electron beams (15, 16, 17) towards the luminous screen, a shadow mask (22) disposed in a fixed interval to the luminous screen, a frame for supporting mask (see Fig 1), shadow mask includes an

effective surface (lines 46-62 of column 2), slots include first slots comprising each outer most slot of adjacent vertical columns of slots (33a, 34a, 33b, 34b, of Fig 3, along top

Art Unit: 2879

edge 120, Fig 2) and a minimum length of the vertical axis direction of the first slot (partial slot 34) is greater than 63% of the maximum length of the vertical axis direction of the first slot (full length slot 33). See claim 3 of column 4.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 14 April 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued that smooth screen edges are obtained at all points in ranges between 70% and 110% of a full length slot, inclusive of the 70% and 110%.

However, It is not supported by original specification that smooth screen edges are obtained for the effective surface of the shadow mask at *all points or at every length* between and inclusive of 70% and 110%.

Moreover prior art first slot lengths fall within the range of originally claimed range.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2879

Page 7

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karabi Guharay whose telephone number is (703) 305-1971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (703) 305-4794. The fax phone number for the organization is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Karabi Guharay Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2879